

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/942,784	08/31/2001	Tae-Woong Kang	0630-1187P	9755
2292 75	590 02/27/2003			
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 747	CH 3/A 220/0 07/7	CHEN, KIN CHAN		
FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1765	
			DATE MAILED: 02/27/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	- -	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		09/942,784		KANG, TAE-WOONG				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	. •	Kin-Chan Chen		1765				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dispatch term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, howe	iver, may a reply be tim imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U S C & 133)				
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-fi	nal.					
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	vance except for for for Ex parte Quayle,	rmal matters, pro 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to the merits is 53 O.G. 213.				
4)	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	n.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)🖂	Claim(s) <u>20</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5,11-15 and 17-19</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🖾	☑ Claim(s) <u>6-10 and 16</u> is/are objected to.							
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗌 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10) 🔲 🗆	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc∈	epted or b) objecte	ed to by the Exan	niner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be hele	d in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)[] 7	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approve	d b)∐ disapprov	ved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office act	ion.					
12) 🗌 🗆	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)	r-(d) or (f).				
a)[☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been rece	ved.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	 Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International But the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ureau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).	-				
14)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(e)) (to a provisional application).				
•	☐ The translation of the foreign language pr cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	• •						
Attachment	(s)							
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		ction Summary		Part of Paper No. 5				

Art Unit: 1765

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figures 1A-1G should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. The process steps of forming non-sharp upper edges of the conductive layer is essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s). See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976).

Claims 17 and 19 do not set forth process steps involved in the method/process of forming non-sharp upper edges of the conductive layer. Therefore, Claims do not reasonably provide enablement for one skilled in the art to perform same function and effect.

_

Application/Control Number: 09/942,784

Art Unit: 1765

3. Claims 11, 14, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 11 recites the limitation "the selectively etching step" in lines 1-2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 14 recites the limitation "wet station," in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 15 recites the limitation "wet station" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. Claims 1, 5, 11, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by admitted prior art.

In a method for forming a capacitor of a semiconductor device, admitted prior art teaches that a first, second, and a third insulation layer may be formed sequentially on a semiconductor substrate (Fig. 1A). The third and the second insulation layer may be sequentially etched to form at least one hole over a first region of the semiconductor substrate (Fig. 1B). A conductive layer may be formed over the semiconductor substrate (Fig. 1C). CMP may be performed until an upper surface of the third insulation layer is exposed (Fig. 1E, page 2 of the specification, lines 8-10). Portions of the third insulation

layer may be removed from the first region (Fig. 1F and 1G). As to dependent claim 5, admitted prior art teaches said material (pages 2 of the specification, line 16).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2-4, 17, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over admitted prior art.

In a method for forming a capacitor of a semiconductor device, admitted prior art teaches that a first, second, and a third insulation layer may be formed sequentially on a semiconductor substrate (Fig. 1A). The third and the second insulation layer may be sequentially etched to form at least one hole over a first region of the semiconductor substrate (Fig. 1B). A conductive layer may be formed over the semiconductor substrate (Fig. 1C). CMP may be performed until an upper surface of the third insulation layer is exposed (Fig. 1E, page 2 of the specification, lines 8-10). Portions of the third insulation layer may be removed from the first region (Fig. 1F and 1G).

Admitted prior art teaches the second insulation material may be silicon nitride and the third insulation material may be silicon oxide (pages 2 of the specification, line 20-23). Because same materials are used in the same process, it would inherently

Application/Control Number: 09/942,784

Art Unit: 1765

contain same etching properties (having different characteristic with respect to each other in instant claim 2) and same functions (produce non-sharp upper edges of the conductive layer in claims 17 and 19).

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over admitted prior art.

Admitted prior art does not explicitly state that the slurry material may be removed from the first region. However, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to clean (remove) the slurry in the device because it is conventional to keep the semiconductor device as clean as possible and remove unneeded material for the product during semiconductor device fabrication.

7. Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over admitted prior art in view of Matsuoka et al. (US 5,130,449; hereinafter "Matsuoka ").

The discussion of modified Matsuoka from above is repeated here.

Claim 13 differs from admitted prior art by specifying using wet etching for removing the insulation material over a conductive material. However, it is conventional to use wet etching method for removing the insulation material over a conductive material. Matsuoka is only relied on the show this conventional method (col. 12, lines 10-13). Because it is conventional and because it is disclosed by Matsuoka, hence, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of admitted prior art by using wet etching method for removing the insulation material over a conductive material in order to provide their art recognized advantages and produce an expected result.

Art Unit: 1765

As to dependent claims 14 and 15, Matsuoka is not particular about the wet station being used in the wet etching process. Hence, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to use wet station employing an IPA vapor drier because it is one of the most popular wet station for wet etching process.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claim 20 is allowed.

Claims 6-10 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The references of record do not teach or suggest a method for forming a capacitor comprising: after etching, the thickness of the third insulation layer over the second region is thinner than a thickness of the third insulation layer over the first region (claim 6); or etching the hard mask film using the photoresist pattern as a mask and etching the third and second insulation layers using the etched hard mask and the photoresist pattern.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kin-Chan Chen whose telephone number is (703) 305 O222. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Application/Control Number: 09/942,784

Art Unit: 1765

supervisor, Benjamin Utech can be reached on (703) 308-3836. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone

K-C C February 20, 2003

number is (703) 308-2934.

Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 1765 Page 7